

# Death by Dark Matter

Jagjit Singh Sidhu<sup>1</sup>, Robert J. Scherrer<sup>2</sup>, Glenn Starkman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physics Department/CERCA/ISO Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106-7079, USA and*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics & Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235*

Macroscopic dark matter refers to a variety of dark matter candidates that would be expected to (elastically) scatter off of ordinary matter with a large geometric cross-section. A wide range of macro masses  $M_X$  and cross-sections  $\sigma_X$  remain unprobed. We show that over a wide region within the unexplored parameter space, collisions of a macro with a human body would result in serious injury or death. We use the absence of such unexplained impacts with a well-monitored subset of the human population to exclude a region bounded by  $\sigma_X > 10^{-8} - 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2$  and  $M_X < 50 \text{ kg}$ . Our results open a new window on dark matter: the human body as a dark matter detector.

The nature of dark matter remains one of the great unsolved mysteries of modern cosmology, despite extensive evidence in its favor[1] as a significant fraction of the energy density of the Universe at both early and late times. Macros (macroscopic dark matter) are a form of dark matter with a relatively large (macroscopic) geometric cross section that are probably composites of more fundamental particles. Such objects are dark because their large mass implies a low number density and a low interaction rate.

An intriguing possibility is that macros could be made of Standard Model quarks or baryons bound by Standard Model forces. This suggestion was originally made by Witten[2], in the context of a first-order QCD phase transition early in the history of the Universe. A more realistic version was advanced by Lynn, Nelson and Tetradis[3] and Lynn[4] again, in the context of  $SU(3)$  chiral perturbation theory who argued that “the true bound state of nuclei may have two thirds of the baryon number consisting of strange quarks and that ordinary nuclei may only be metastable.” Nelson [5] studied the possible formation of such “nuggets of strange baryon matter” in an early-universe transition from a kaon-condensate phase of QCD to the ordinary phase. Others have suggested non-Standard Model versions of such nuclear objects and their formation, for example incorporating the axion [6].

Such objects would presumably have densities that are comparable to nuclear density (which we take to be  $\rho_{nuclear} = 3.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ). This is much higher than ordinary “atomic density” ( $\rho_{atomic} = 1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ), and much lower density than black holes. The unconstrained macro parameter space includes a wide range of  $M_X$  for macros of nuclear density (see [7] and [8]). For macro masses  $M_x \leq 55 \text{ g}$ , careful examination of specimens of old mica for tracks made by passing dark matter[9, 10] has ruled out such objects as the primary dark-matter candidate (see Figure 1). For  $M_x \geq 10^{24} \text{ g}$ , a variety of microlensing searches have similarly constrained macros[11–14]. A large region of parameter space was constrained by considering thermonuclear runaways triggered by macros incident on white dwarfs[15]. Reference [16] utilized the full Boltzmann formalism to obtain constraints from macro-photon elastic scattering using the first year release of Planck data. In particular, a suffi-

ciently high dark-matter photon interaction will generate distinctive features in the temperature and polarization power spectra at high  $\ell$  values. Constraints were derived by comparing the spectra to the latest Planck data, and finding the best-fit cosmological parameters. Prior work had already constrained a similar range of parameter space by showing that the consequence of dark matter interactions with standard model particles is to dampen the primordial matter fluctuations and essentially erase all structures below a given scale (see e.g. [17]).

More recently, it has been suggested that ultra-high-energy cosmic-ray detectors that exploit atmospheric fluorescence could be modified to probe parts of macro parameter space[18], including macros of nuclear density and intermediate mass. It has also been suggested that the approach applied to mica[9, 10] could be adapted to a larger, widely available sample of appropriate rock, to search for larger-mass macros[19].

In this manuscript, we examine the range of parameter space in which macros would have inflicted lethal injuries on members of the human population in regions of the world in which reliable monitoring has revealed no such signal. Previously, other [20] have considered the effects of WIMP collisions with the human body, although the outcome in that scenario was that WIMPs are essentially harmless.

The closest analogy to a macro collision with a human being is a gunshot wound. Bullets cause injury to the human body from a combination of permanent cavitation, temporary cavitation, and pressure waves[21]. While these are complex processes, it is generally believed that the overall tissue damage depends primarily on the kinetic energy deposited in the body. Bullets in general have muzzle kinetic energies in the range of 100-10000 J[22], but only a fraction of the muzzle kinetic energy is deposited unless these bullets stop inside the body. To constrain  $\sigma_x$ , we will require that macros impacting a human deposit an amount of energy similar to a gunshot wound.

Note that we are working with a very different range of projectile sizes and velocities from typical bullets. Macros typically have hypersonic velocities ( $v_x \sim 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) but very small geometric cross sections in our parameter range of interest (as small as 1 micron<sup>2</sup>). Hence,

their destructive effect is likely to be qualitatively different from that of a bullet; a macro impact typically heats the cylinder of tissue carved out along its path to a temperature of  $10^7$  K[18, 19], resulting in an expanding cylinder of plasma inside the body. While some studies have been done on collisions of hypersonic, micron-sized projectiles with fixed targets[23], these differed significantly from macro collisions in that the experimental projectiles were of much lower density, and the targets were “hard” rather than “soft”. Nonetheless, it is reasonable to take the kinetic energy deposited by a macro as a threshold for significant damage to the human body. Energy conservation requires that the macro energy ultimately be deposited in the body in some form, whether mechanical or thermal, which will result in an equivalent amount of damage.

As our benchmark for “significant” damage to the human body, we will take the muzzle energy (100 J[22]) from a .22 caliber rifle. This is the smallest rifle in common use but is still capable of inflicting serious injury. Hence we will require at least 100 J to be deposited by the macro as it traverses a human body.

The energy per unit length deposited by a macro through elastic scattering, as it passes through a human body is

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_X\rho v_X^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma_X$  is the geometric cross section of the macro,  $v_X$  is its speed, and  $\rho_X$  is the typical density of human tissue. A good approximation for the latter is the density of water:  $\rho \sim 1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . Assuming that a macro traverses  $\sim 10$  cm of a human on average, one can turn the minimum energy requirement into a requirement on the cross-section of a macro using (1).

For definiteness, we assume macros possess a Maxwellian velocity distribution

$$f_{MB}(v_X) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi v_{vir}^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} 4\pi v_X^2 e^{-\left(\frac{v_X}{v_{vir}}\right)^2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $v_{vir} \approx 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This distribution is slightly modified by the motion of the Earth[18]. Combining the minimum macro energy requirement with the macro velocity distribution, we obtain the lower bound on  $\sigma_X$  for the blue excluded region in Figure 1.

Constraints on the mass  $M_x$  of a macro are derived from the non-observation of unexplained events resembling gunshots in terms of damage inflicted on the well-monitored population of most Western countries. The expected number of macro passages through a population of  $N$  humans depends on  $M_X$ .

$$N_{events} = \frac{\rho_{DM} N A_{human} T_e v_X}{M_X} \approx 150000 \frac{g}{M_X},$$

where  $\rho_{DM} \approx 5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $A_{human} \sim 1 \text{ m}^2$  is the typical cross-sectional area of a human,  $N \approx 8 \times 10^8$  is

the population of the US, Western Europe and Canada and  $T_e$  is the exposure time, which we take to be 10 years.

Since the impact of a macro on a human is a Poisson process, the probability  $P(n)$  of  $n$  impacts over the exposure time  $T_e$  follows the Poisson distribution:

$$P(n) = \frac{N_{events}^n}{n!} e^{-N_{events}}. \quad (3)$$

where  $N_{events}$  is the expected number of events per interval, as calculated in (3). Having observed no macro-related deaths over 10 years in this population, we may constrain  $M_x \leq 50000 \text{ g}$  at the 95% level; this is the upper bound on  $M_x$  in the blue excluded region of Figure 1.

The diagonal upper bound in Figure 1 arises at a critical value of  $\frac{\sigma_x}{M_x}$  where significant energy loss takes place due to atmospheric drag. Macros above this critical value of  $\frac{\sigma_x}{M_x} \approx 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}$  are slowed significantly such that any impact with a human would not transfer sufficient energies to meet the minimum threshold of 100 J.

Our results constrain macros to physical sizes as small as several microns and masses less than 50 kg (Fig. 1). The result of impacts of such small objects on humans remains an open question[23]. Depending on the outcome of such results, it is possible that even smaller macros may also be constrained up to masses  $M_x \leq 50 \text{ kg}$ . Our results open a new window on dark matter: the human body as a dark matter detector.




Figure 1. Constraints for macros over a wide range of masses and cross-sections. Constraints in blue (this work) are derived from the lack of unexplained gunshot-like wounds caused by a passing macro observed in a large human population. Constraints in yellow are derived from a lack of tracks in an ancient slab of mica [9, 10]. Constraints in grey are derived from the Planck Cosmic Microwave Background data considering elastic macro-photon interactions [16].

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by Department of Energy grant de-sc0009946 to the particle astrophysics theory group at CWRU. R.J.S. was partially supported by the Department of Energy, de-sc0019207. R.J.S. thanks M.S. Hutson for helpful discussions.

- 
- [1] V. C. Rubin, J. Ford, W. K., and N. Thonnard, *Astrophys. J.* **238**, 471 (1980).
- [2] E. Witten, *Physical Review D* **30**, 272 (1984).
- [3] B. W. Lynn, A. E. Nelson, and N. Tetradis, *Nuclear Physics B* **345**, 186 (1990).
- [4] B. W. Lynn, “Liquid phases in SU(3) Chiral Perturbation Theory: Drops of Strange Chiral Nucleon Liquid and Ordinary Chiral Heavy Nuclear Liquid,” (2010), arXiv:1005.2124.
- [5] A. E. Nelson, *Physical Letters* **B240**, 179 (1990).
- [6] A. R. Zhitnitsky, *JCAP* **0310**, 010 (2003).
- [7] D. M. Jacobs, G. D. Starkman, and B. W. Lynn, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **450**, 3418 (2015).
- [8] D. M. Jacobs, A. Weltman, and G. D. Starkman, *Physical Review D* **91**, 115023 (2015).
- [9] A. De Rujula and S. L. Glashow, *Nature* **312**, 734 (1984).
- [10] P. B. Price, *Physical Review D* **38**, 3813 (1988).
- [11] P. Tisserand *et al.*, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **469**, 387 (2007).
- [12] B. J. Carr, K. Kohri, Y. Sendouda, and J. Yokoyama, *Physical Review D* **81**, 104019 (2010).
- [13] C. Alcock *et al.*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **550**, L169 (2001).
- [14] K. Griest, A. M. Cieplak, and M. J. Lehner, *Physical Review Letters* **111**, 181302 (2013).
- [15] P. W. Graham, R. Janish, V. Narayan, S. Rajendran, and P. Riggins, *Physical Review D* **98**, 115027 (2018).
- [16] R. J. Wilkinson, J. Lesgourgues, and C. Boehm, *JCAP* **4**, 026 (2013), arXiv:1309.7588.
- [17] C. Boehm, P. Fayet, and R. Schaeffer, *Physics Letters B* **518**, 8 (2001).
- [18] J. S. Sidhu, R. M. Abraham, C. Covault, and G. Starkman, *JCAP* **1902**, 037 (2019), arXiv:1808.06978 [astro-ph.HE].
- [19] J. S. Sidhu, G. Starkman, and R. Harvey, “A counter-top search for macroscopic dark matter,” (2019), arXiv:1905.10025.
- [20] K. Freese and C. Savage, *Physics Letters B* **717**, 25 (2012).
- [21] A. C. Courtney and M. W. L. Courtney, “Physical Mechanisms of Soft Tissue Injury from Penetrating Ballistic Impact,” Research Report, US Air Force Academy (2012).
- [22] P. M. Rhee, E. E. Moore, B. Joseph, A. Tang, V. Pandit, and G. Vercruyse, *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* **80**, 853 (2016).
- [23] P. Keaton, G. Idzorek, L. Rowton, J. Seagrave, G. Stradling, S. Bergeson, M. Collopy, H. Curling, D. McColl, and J. Smith, *International Journal of Impact Engineering* **10**, 295 (1990).